

Vancomycin-induced drug rash with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome: a case report

Síndrome de reação a medicamentos com eosinofilia e sintomas sistêmicos induzido por vancomicina: relato de caso

Vikram Singh-Dhapola^{1*}, Rakshit R. Singh-Deori², and Rishabh Arora¹

¹Department of Pharmacology, Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences, Swami Rama Himalayan University, Dehradun; ²Soban Singh Jeena Government Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Almora, India

Abstract

Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) syndrome is an uncommon but potentially life-threatening cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction characterized by extensive mucocutaneous eruption, fever, hematologic abnormalities, including eosinophilia and/or atypical lymphocytosis, and extensive organ involvement. Here, we present a rare case of DRESS syndrome in a female patient with burn injuries, following vancomycin therapy for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. According to the RegiSCAR diagnostic criteria, the case was classified as definite DRESS. Clinical resolution was achieved with the timely initiation of methylprednisolone and antihistamine therapy.

Keywords: Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome. DRESS. Drug hypersensitivity syndrome. RegiSCAR. Vancomycin.

Resumo

A síndrome de reação a drogas com eosinofilia e sintomas sistêmicos (DRESS) é uma reação cutânea de hipersensibilidade rara, porém potencialmente fatal, caracterizada por uma erupção mucocutânea extensa, febre, anormalidades hematológicas, incluindo eosinofilia e/ou linfocitose atípica, e envolvimento extenso de órgãos. Neste artigo, apresentamos um caso raro de síndrome DRESS em uma paciente com queimaduras, após tratamento com vancomicina para infecção por *Staphylococcus aureus* resistente à metilicina (MRSA). De acordo com os critérios diagnósticos do RegiSCAR, o caso foi classificado como DRESS definitivo. A resolução clínica foi alcançada com a introdução oportuna de metilprednisolona e terapia com anti-histamínico.

Palavras-chave: Síndrome de reação a drogas com eosinofilia e sintomas sistêmicos. DRESS. Síndrome de hipersensibilidade a drogas. RegiSCAR. Vancomicina.

*Correspondence:

Vikram Singh-Dhapola

E-mail: drdhapola90@gmail.com

2795-501X / © 2025 Portuguese Society of Dermatology and Venereology. Published by Permanyer. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Received: 20-09-2025

Accepted: 19-12-2025

DOI: 10.24875/PJDV.25000068

Available online: 02-02-2026

Port J Dermatol and Venereol. 2026;84(2):117-120

www.portuguesejournalofdermatology.com

Introduction

Adverse drug reactions affecting the skin are common and present with a diverse pattern of expressions. Cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions range in severity, from mild reactions to severe cutaneous adverse reactions (SCARs).¹ To be included in SCAR, the following criteria are to be fulfilled: (1) severe (associated to a significant morbidity and mortality and usually leading to hospitalization); (2) non-predictable (idiosyncratic, and probably of immunological mechanism); and (3) most often induced by drugs.¹ DRESS is a distinct SCAR characterized by potentially life-threatening hypersensitivity reaction with extensive rash, fever, and internal organ involvement, including liver and kidney, occurring most commonly 2-6 weeks after initiation of a medication.² Here, we describe a case of DRESS syndrome caused by vancomycin.

Case report

A 62-year-old female was admitted to a tertiary care hospital presenting with high-grade fever, a progressively worsening maculopapular rash, peripheral eosinophilia, and evidence of hepatic and renal dysfunction. On examination, the patient was alert and fully oriented. A diffuse blanching erythema with desquamation was noted over the face, back, and extremities (Figs. 1-3), while the oral mucosa remained unaffected. No lymphadenopathy was detected. The patient's medical history revealed prior hospitalization for burn management, during which she was treated with vancomycin (2 g/day) for a MRSA infection. She denied any concurrent medications or known drug allergies. Approximately 3 weeks after initiating vancomycin therapy, she developed the aforementioned symptoms.

Laboratory investigations conducted both before and following the onset of symptoms (Table 1) demonstrated hematological abnormalities, impaired liver function, and declining renal parameters. Serological tests for hepatitis A, B, C, and E viruses, as well as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 and HIV-2, were all negative. A diagnosis of drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) was established based on hematological findings, hepatic and renal involvement, and exclusion of other etiologies.

According to the RegiSCAR diagnostic criteria, the case was classified as "definite DRESS" with a score of 6, considering the presence of fever, eosinophilia ($> 1500/\mu\text{L}$), atypical lymphocytes, rash involving over 50% of the body surface with purpuric features and



Figure 1. Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome with marked facial exfoliative dermatitis and swelling.



Figure 2. Generalized exfoliative dermatitis in the context of drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms, with a detail on the forearms.

infiltration, and multi-organ involvement. The Naranjo adverse drug reaction probability scale yielded a score of 8, indicating a "probable" association with vancomycin. The patient was managed promptly with systemic corticosteroids methylprednisolone 125 mg (approximately

Table 1. Laboratory parameters before and after the onset of vancomycin

Investigations	Before starting of vancomycin	At 3 weeks of vancomycin	After stopping vancomycin and 7 days of methylprednisolone
Hemoglobin (g %)	9.6	9.5	9.5
Total leucocyte count (n/mm ³)	7800	14,000	10,000
Polymorphs (%)	55	53	53
Eosinophils (%)	1	26	14
Absolute eosinophils count (/mm ³)	200	3800	1900
Atypical lymphocytes	Absent	Present	Present
Platelets (/mm ³)	2.3 × 10 ⁵	2.3 × 10 ⁵	2.23 × 10 ⁵
Urea (mg/dL)	18	20	20
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1	3	2
Serum bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.8	3	1.3
Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)	26	152	91
Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)	28	378	218
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	58	188	86
Antinuclear antibody	Negative	Negative	Negative
Urine protein	Nil	Traces	Traces



Figure 3. Details of the hand involvement in the case of generalized exfoliative dermatitis in the context of drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms from vancomycin.

1.25 mg/kg daily, body weight 98.3 kg) for 3 days. She was treated with tapering oral prednisolone for an additional 2 weeks and antihistamines, resulting in

significant clinical improvement and normalization of hematological, hepatic, and renal parameters (Table 1).

Discussion

The term DRESS was first proposed by Bocquet et al. in 1996.² DRESS is a dermatological emergency with a reported mortality rate of approximately 10%.³ Its incidence is estimated at 1 in 1,000 to 1 in 10,000 drug exposures.⁴ The underlying pathophysiology remains incompletely defined but is thought to involve an immune-mediated mechanism, potentially influenced by viral reactivation.⁵ Early in the disease course, reductions in circulating B lymphocytes and serum immunoglobulin levels have been observed.⁵ Elevated inflammatory cytokines are commonly present, with interleukin-5 often peaking several days before the onset of eosinophilia.⁶

Diagnosis relies on both clinical features and laboratory data. Typical lab findings include eosinophilia or atypical lymphocytosis, elevated transaminases, increased creatinine, and pyuria, indicating possible hepatic and renal involvement.⁷ Our patient presented with fever, rash, eosinophilia, and transaminitis. Cutaneous symptoms generally appear 2-8 weeks after initiating the causative drug and

may continue after its withdrawal.⁷ In this case, the rash developed 3 weeks after starting antibiotic therapy.

In the European registry of SCARs to drugs and collection of biological samples (RegiSCAR) study, a definitive drug-related cause was identified in 88% of DRESS cases, whereas the cause remained uncertain in only 2%.⁸ In our patient's case, DRESS syndrome likely developed as a result of vancomycin exposure. Vancomycin is responsible for approximately two-thirds of antibiotic-related DRESS cases.⁹ According to a study using electronic health records, 74% of DRESS incidents were linked to antibiotics, with the majority caused by vancomycin, followed by beta-lactams.¹⁰

Because the clinical symptoms of DRESS can vary widely, a scoring system called the RegiSCAR Criteria was developed to assist with diagnosis.¹¹ According to this system, the patient received a total of seven points: one point for fever, two points for eosinophilia over 1500/uL, two points for skin involvement and biopsy indicating a drug reaction, two points for kidney and lung involvement, and negative results for antinuclear antibody, blood cultures, and hepatitis tests. The criteria are scored from 4 to 9, with scores of < 2 excluding the diagnosis of DRESS, 2-3 being possible, 4-5 probable, and score > 5 being definite. In our case, the patient's RegiSCAR score was 6.

The cornerstone of management for DRESS syndrome involves discontinuation of the offending agent alongside corticosteroid therapy. Given vancomycin's extended half-life, hemodialysis may be considered in severe, refractory cases, with a single session capable of removing up to 50% of plasma vancomycin concentrations.¹² Our patient exhibited marked clinical and biochemical improvement following corticosteroid administration. Consequently, the patient was discharged with outpatient follow-up arranged to monitor resolution and facilitate corticosteroid tapering.

Conclusion

Diagnosing DRESS is difficult due to its variable symptoms, which can resemble many other conditions. This challenge is heightened because DRESS typically appears later than other drug reactions, usually 2-6 weeks after starting a medication, rather than 1-3 weeks. However, quickly identifying the syndrome and stopping the offending drug is crucial, as DRESS can be life-threatening but is often reversible. More research is needed to improve early diagnosis and develop

better treatment guidelines to reduce the illness and death associated with this condition.

Funding

None.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Ethical considerations

Protection of humans and animals. The authors declare that no experiments involving humans or animals were conducted for this research.

Confidentiality, informed consent, and ethical approval. The authors have followed their institution's confidentiality protocols, obtained informed consent from patients, and received approval from the Ethics Committee. The SAGER guidelines were followed according to the nature of the study.

Declaration on the use of artificial intelligence. The authors declare that no generative artificial intelligence was used in the writing of this manuscript.

References

1. Roujeau JC, Stern RS. Severe adverse cutaneous reactions to drugs. *N Engl J Med.* 1994;331:1272-85.
2. Bocquet H, Bagot M, Roujeau JC. Drug-induced pseudolymphoma and drug hypersensitivity syndrome (Drug Rash with Eosinophilia and Systemic Symptoms: DRESS). *Semin Cutan Med Surg.* 1996;15:250-7.
3. Chen YC, Chiu HC, Chu CY. Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms: a retrospective study of 60 cases. *Arch Dermatol.* 2010;146:1373-9.
4. Chiou CC, Yang LC, Hung SI, Chang YC, Kuo TT, Ho HC, et al. Clinicopathological features and prognosis of drug rash with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms: a study of 30 cases in Taiwan. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2008;22:1044-9.
5. Hirahara K, Kano Y, Mitsuyama Y, Takahashi R, Kimishima M, Shiohara T. Differences in immunological alterations and underlying viral infections in two well-defined severe drug eruptions. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 2010;35:863-8.
6. Choquet-Kastylevsky G, Intrator L, Chenal C, Bocquet H, Revuz J, Roujeau JC. Increased levels of interleukin 5 are associated with the generation of eosinophilia in drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome. *Br J Dermatol.* 1998;139:1026-32.
7. Micheletti R, Rosenbach M, Wintroub BU, Shinkai K. Cutaneous drug reactions. In: Jameson J, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Longo DL, Loscalzo J, editors. *Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine.* 20th ed. United States: McGrawHill; 2018.
8. Kardaun SH, Sekula P, Valeyrie-Allanore L, Liss Y, Chu CY, Creamer D, et al. Drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS): an original multisystem adverse drug reaction. Results from the prospective RegiSCAR study. *Br J Dermatol.* 2013;169:1071-80.
9. Zafar S, Decastro A, Pal S, Pandav J, Kanaparthi N. Vancomycin-induced DRESS syndrome. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2020;124:107-8.
10. Sharifzadeh S, Mohammadpour AH, Tavanaee A, Elyasi S. Antibacterial antibiotic-induced drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) syndrome: a literature review. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2021;77:275-89.
11. Shiohara T, Mizukawa Y. Drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome (DiHS)/drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS): an update in 2019. *Allergol Int.* 2019;68:301-8.
12. Deck D, Winston L. Beta-Lactam & other cell wall- & membrane active antibiotics. In: *Basic and Clinical Pharmacology.* 12th ed., Ch. 43. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2012.